

|   |                 |                   |  |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No. | Applicant(s)      |  |
|   | 10/627,395      | KESSELI, JAMES B. |  |
|   | Examiner        | Art Unit          |  |
|   | Ehud Gartenberg | 3746              |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Ehud Gartenberg.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Thomas Otterlee.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 January 2005
**Time:** 5PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1-26

Prior art documents discussed:

All prior art of record, in particular Maese 5832713

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Agreement was reached on the Examiner's Amendment that put the application in condition of allowance by defining over the prior art of record.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)